# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,168	08/18/2003	Glen S. Axelrod	TFH028U	3595
32047 7590 03/30/2007 GROSSMAN, TUCKER, PERREAULT & PFLEGER, PLLC 55 SOUTH COMMERICAL STREET MANCHESTER, NH 03101			EXAMINER	
			SMITH, KIMBERLY S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	TUS	03/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_		
	10/643,168	AXELROD, GLEN S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_		
•	Kimberly S. Smith	3644.	_		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	VIO OET TO EVENE AN	ACNITURO) OD TURDIN (20) DANO			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR c, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 D	ecember 2006.	•			
	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 December 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		•			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ol>		unnlication No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior					
application from the International Bureau	-	, 1000, 100 iii iiio 110, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.			
	•				
·					
Attachment(s)	,				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	s)/Mail Date			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/643,168 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. It is noted that the Applicant's remarks with respect to the end piece and its utilization has brought to light claim limitations requiring clarification (reference Claim Objections/Rejection section below).

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings were received on 12/22/2006. These drawings are approved.

## Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said edge section" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is suggested the applicant insert -section-following "edge" in line 4 to provide proper antecedent basis.
- 4. Claim 17 is objected to because of the following informalities: insert -of or -from or similar term prior to "an" in line 2. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

7. Regarding claim 1, it is unclear as to how in line 8, the end piece protects the edge section of said end piece? This claim limitation is construed to be wherein said end piece protects said edge section of said body portion from contact with said animal.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-8, 10, 12-15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Handelsman, US 7,017,523.

Handelsman discloses a body portion including an outer layer (701) having a first hardness and a rigid supporting core (i.e. shaft, not shown), the body portion having a first and second end sections with an edge section thereof and an end piece (703) including a raised ridge section (as viewed, for example, in figure 11, adjacent hub 711a) wherein the end piece protects the edge section of the end piece from contact with the animal during chewing, wherein the end piece configured to engage the body portion includes an opening (reference column 7, lines 21-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,168

Art Unit: 3644

Regarding claim 2, Handelsman discloses the end piece protects the edge section of the body portion by at least partially overlying the edge section (reference column 7, lines 21-24).

Regarding claim 3, Handelsman discloses the end piece protects the edge section by completely overlying the edge section and wherein the end piece is sealingly engaged to the body portion (reference column 7, lines 21-24).

Regarding claim 4, Handelsman discloses the edge of the body portion is configured to engage the opening in the end piece and the opening compresses upon the end section (reference column 7, lines 21-24 in which the end cap may be a "press" fit.

Regarding claim 5, it is inherent that in a "press" fit connection as disclosed that the opening gin the end piece is less than the diameter of the first and second end sections.

Regarding claim 6, Handelsman discloses the outer layer of the body portion comprises an elastomer (reference column 5, lines 26-29).

Regarding claim 7, Handelsman discloses the outer layer comprises natural rubber (reference column 5, lines 27-28).

Regarding claim 8, Handelsman discloses the first and second end pieces comprise a thermoplastic material (i.e. polyurethane).

Regarding claim 10, Handelsman discloses the body portion comprises a core at least partially covered by the outer layer.

Regarding claim 12, Handelsman discloses at least one of the body portion and the first and second end pieces include a plurality of protrusions

Regarding claim 13, Handelsman discloses the plurality of protrusions comprise conical protrusions (reference for example 303 and 403).

Application/Control Number: 10/643,168

Art Unit: 3644

Regarding claim 14, Handelsman discloses the body portion outer layer has a hardness on the Shore A scale (inherent).

Regarding claim 15, Handelsman discloses the end pieces hav ea hardness on the Shore D scale (inherent).

Regarding claim 17, Handelsman discloses the body portion and the outer layer is made of an elastomer material.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Handelsman, US Patent 7,017,523.

Handelsman discloses the invention substantially a claimed. However, Handelsman does not positively disclose the first and second end pieces or the core are a nylon material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use nylon for the material of the end pieces, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice as the Applicant has not stated the criticality of the specific choice of nylon (reference instant application, page 8, lines 18-19). *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Art Unit: 3644

12. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Handelsman as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Klaus et al., US Patent 6,676,481 (Klaus).

Handelsman discloses the invention substantially as claimed including the end piece may be connected via fasteners to the body portion. However, Handelsman does not positively disclose the first and second end pieces and body portion include at least one cooperating hole for the insertion of a dowel to attach the end pieces to the body portion. Klaus teaches within the analogous art of toys the use of a dowel inserted into two connection portions of a toy for providing a secure attachment of two pieces together. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the corresponding hole and dowel to fasten the end pieces to the end portion of the body portion as taught by Klaus with the invention of Handelsman as a known means of fastening so as to provide a secure coupling between the two components.

#### Conclusion

13. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 3644

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly S. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-6909. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 10:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 571-272-7045. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kimberly S Smith

Examiner

Art Unit 3644